

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

				and the second s
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/781,482	02/12/2001	Thomson Alexander	965-3	9493
75	90 04/26/2002			
Christopher G. Trainor			EXAM	INER
Dilworth & Barrese 333 Earle Ovington Blvd.			FEE, WILLIAM S	
Uniongaie, NY	Uniondale, NY 11553		PAPER NUMBER	
	3677 DATE MAILED: 04/26/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	\$
ON	
s	
nication.	
erits is	
e	

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/781,482 ALEXANDER, THOMSON			
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		William S. Fee	3677		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.		
Status	,				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>			
2a)	This action is FINAL 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.			
3) Disposition	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under lon of Claims	nce except for formal matters, pi Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 153 O.G. 213.		
4)🖾	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-10</u> is/are rejected.				
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or papers	election requirement.			
9)⊠ Т	he specification is objected to by the Examiner	•			
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on <u>12 February 2001</u> is/are:	a) accepted or b) ⊠objected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	ly to this Office action.			
12)⊠ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) 🔲 🗸	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).		
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:				
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.			
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No		
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Burese the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	G		
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·			
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language proveknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	risional application has been rece	eived.		
Attachment(
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)		
. Patent and Trac ΓΟ-326 (Rev.	• • • • •	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 4		

Application/Control Number: 09/781,482

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

1. Page 3 of 6, at the bottom of the page the filing date of the provisional application is incorrect.

Specification

- 2. Page 3, line 19, recites "hinged assembly 29" however, page 3, line 10, recites "drain holes 29".
- 3. Page 5, line 10, recites "catch 20" however, page 5, line 11 recites "catch 70".
- 4. Page 6, line 7 recites "concavity 35" however, page 4 line 2 recites "concavity 33".
- 5. Page 9, lines 17,18,27 and 29 recite "housing 100" however, page 6,line 11 recites "closure device 100".
- 6. Page 6, line 20, recites "threaded bore 112" however, page 6, line 13, recites "top of housing 112".
- 7. Page 6, lines 21 and 23, recite "distal end 116" however, page 6, line 12, recites "top cover 116".

Drawings

8. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "29" has been used to designate both hinge and drain hole in FIGS. 1 and 2. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/781,482

Art Unit: 3677

- 9. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "100" has been used to designate both closure device and housing in FIGS. 6 and 7. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 10. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "112" has been used to designate both top of housing and threaded bore in FIGS. 6 and 7. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 11. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "116" has been used to designate both top cover and distal end in FIGS. 6 and 7. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 12. Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this

 Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect may be deferred until after
 the examiner has considered the proposed drawing correction. Failure to timely submit
 the proposed drawing correction will result in the abandonment of the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

13. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/781,482

Art Unit: 3677

14. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the access closure device" in line10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

15. Claims 8,9 and 10 recite the limitation "An access opening" in line 1 respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 17. Claims 1,2,3,4,6,7,8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gabel, U.S.P. 5,921,191 in view of Sodenkamp, Jr., U.S.P. 3,709,539.

Regarding claims 1,2,3,6,8 and 10, Gabel discloses an access opening closure device comprising a housing 28 forming a receptacle as in FIG. 1, a top cover 16B supported on the housing and movable between a first and a second position covering and uncovering the top opening, an access door 16A supported on the housing and movable between a first and a second position covering and uncovering the rear opening. Gabel further discloses a bracket assembly 2 securing the rear opening of the housing to an access opening in a door where the bracket has a top 8, a bottom 12 and a side 10 each around the rear opening of the housing; the top cover being pivotably attached as in FIGS. 1,2 and 3 has a first lock 26B to retain the top cover in the first position and is constructed with transparent material 18B. However, Gabel does not

Application/Control Number: 09/781,482

Art Unit: 3677

disclose the door having recesses formed along a surface of the door and an engagement member moveable into engagement with the recesses nor does he disclose the top and bottom brackets having concavities formed therein forming a guide track whereby the access door can be slidably supported on the guide track as well as the top cover being slidably supported on the housing.

Regarding claims 1 Sodenkamp, Jr. teaches a door 91 having recesses (as in FIGS. 4 and 5) formed along a surface 94 of the door and an engagement member 20 supported on a access closure device 93 moveable into engagement with the recesses.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the access opening closure device of Gabel, with recesses in the door surface and for engagement with an engagement member as taught by Sodenkamp, Jr., in order to secure the door in position so that it can not be moved until the engagement member is disengaged.

Regarding claims 4 and 7 Sodenkamp Jr. teaches a top and bottom bracket having concavities formed therein (as in FIGS. 3,4 and 5) forming a guide track (as in FIGS. 3,4 and 5) whereby the access door can be slidably supported on the guide tracks as well as the top cover being slidably supported on the housing.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the access opening closure device of Gabel, with guide tracks for slidably supporting the top and rear doors as taught by Sodenkamp, Jr., in order to provide less space restrictive opening whereby the door doesn't have to swing open and cheaper manufacture without the hinges.



Application/Control Number: 09/781,482

Art Unit: 3677

18. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gabel, U.S.P. 5,921,191 in view of Sodenkamp, Jr., U.S.P. 3,709,539 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Clark, U.S.P. 5,799,589.

Gabel and Sodenkamp Jr. are discussed above however, they do not disclose the housing constructed from steel.

Regarding claim 9, Clark teaches a housing constructed from steel (column 4, lines 2-10).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the access opening closure device of Gabel modified by Sodenkamp Jr. with a stainless steel housing as taught by Clark, in order to provide a strong housing that is resistant to corrosion and cleans easily.

Allowable Subject Matter

19. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

20. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following are similar in principle to the lock disclosed by the applicant: Durst, Cahill, Albes, Brown and Brightwell.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William S. Fee whose telephone number is (703) 305-

Page 7



Application/Control Number: 09/781,482

Art Unit: 3677

3131. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann, can be reached on (703) 306-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9326.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

William S. Fee Examiner Art Unit 3677

J. J. SWANN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600